



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/625,147 | 07/23/2003 | Francis Koperda | A-9277 (191910-1062) | 8272 | |
| 7590 05/11/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Kenneth M. Massaroni | | | CARDONE, JASON D | | |
| Scientific-Atlan | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 5030 Sugarloaf Lawrenceville, | | 2145 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|-------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/625,147 KOPERDA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examine | r | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jason D. | Cardone | 2145 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communi | cation appears on th | e cover sheet with the c | correspondence addre | 9SS | | |
| THE I - Exter after - if the - if NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exunication.)) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app | rent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 January 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL. | b)☐ This action is r | non-final. | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>29-56</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>29-56</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to | is/are: a)⊠ accepte tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi | be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR | • • | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of the None of Non | documents have been documents have been been the priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru | en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National St | age | | |
| Attachment | ` ' | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notice 3) D Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ponation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Ponation Disclosure Statement(s) | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | 52) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/625,147 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the amendment of the applicants, filed on 1/7/05. Claims 29-56 are presented for further examination.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 40 and 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,230,203. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-5 and 9-18 of USPN 6,263,362 contains every element of claims 29-39, 41, 42, and 48-56 of the instant application and as such anticipates claims 29-39, 41, 42, and 48-56 of the instant application. "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is

Application/Control Number: 10/625,147

Art Unit: 2145

not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason D. Cardone whose telephone number is (571) 272-3933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Th. (6AM-3PM). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (571) 272-6159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason D Cardone Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2145